ſ				
1 2	SOUTHERN D	TES DISTRICT COURT ISTRICT OF FLORIDA MI DIVISION		
3	Case 14-20102-CR-SCOLA			
4				
5				
6	Plaintiff,	MIAMI, FLORIDA		
7	vs.	AUGUST 19, 2014		
8	ANA ALLIEGRO,	(Pages 1 - 26)		
9	Defendant.			
10	CALENDAR CALL BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT N. SCOLA, JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE			
11				
12				
13	APPEARANCES:			
14		HOMAS J. MULVIHILL, A.U.S.A. nited States Attorney's Office		
15		9 N.E. 4th Street iami, FL 33132 305.961.9424		
16				
17		OHN EDWIN BERGENDAHL, ESQ.		
18	Li	ICHARD CARROLL KLUGH, JR., ESQ. aw Offices of John E. Bergendahl		
19		5 S.E. 2nd Avenue, Suite 1100 iami, FL 33131 305.536.2168		
20		(Fax) 305.536.2170		
21		OSEPH A. MILLIKAN, RPR-CM-NSC-FCRR		
22	Fe	fficial United States Court Reporter ederally Certified Realtime Reporter		
23	40	ilkie D. Ferguson Jr. U.S. Courthouse 00 North Miami Avenue, Suite 12-3		
24		iami, FL 33128 305.523.5148 osephamillikan@gmail.com		
25				

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	Page
3	Reporter's Certificate
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14 15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

11:01:15	1	THE COURT: All right. Let's go back on the record on
11:01:18	2	United States v. Ana Alliegro. So you all have had a couple of
11:01:23	3	hours to consult. What's happening?
11:01:26	4	MR. MULVIHILL: Good morning, Your Honor. Thomas
11:01:28	5	Mulvihill on behalf of the United States. I believe the defense
11:01:30	6	wishes to enter a plea in this case, Your Honor.
11:01:33	7	THE COURT: Okay. And is there a formal written plea
11:01:35	8	agreement?
11:01:36	9	MR. MULVIHILL: There is not, Your Honor.
11:01:38	10	THE COURT: Okay. Are there any oral or informal
11:01:40	11	agreements?
11:01:42	12	MR. KLUGH: Yes, Your Honor, there is.
11:01:43	13	THE COURT: Okay. Let me hear that. Pay attention,
11:01:45	14	Ms. Alliegro, because I am going to ask you about this.
11:01:49	15	Go ahead.
11:01:49	16	MR. KLUGH: Your Honor, as we understand the agreement,
11:01:52	17	Ms. Alliegro will be pleading guilty to the four counts of the
11:01:55	18	Indictment in this case.
11:01:58	19	The parties are agreeing to in this case a
11:02:04	20	presentence report with regard to the offense conduct at issue
11:02:10	21	has already been issued in the related case, the Sternad case.
11:02:14	22	The parties are agreeing that the calculation reached in that
11:02:20	23	case which involves a base offense level of 8.
11:02:24	24	A specific offense characteristic due to the amounts of
11:02:28	25	funds involved would require an eight-level increase to that.

11:02:32 1 11:02:36 2 11:02:40 3 11:02:50 4 11:02:56 5 11:03:01 6 11:03:07 7 11:03:11 8 11:03:15 9 11:03:19 10 11:03:26 11 11:03:45 **12** 11:03:49 **13** 11:03:53 14 11:03:59 **15** 11:04:02 16 11:04:08 **17** 11:04:15 18 11:04:18 19 11:04:21 20 11:04:22 21 11:04:23 22 11:04:25 23 11:04:25 24

11:04:26

25

which results in a total offense level in this case of 16 with no other enhancements applicable.

The Government is agreeing to a 3E1.1 acceptance of responsibility reduction, plus given that it is coming at this time, the additional point for timely acceptance which would result ultimately in a Level 13 for the guidelines offense level.

I don't believe that there are any other express terms other than the Government will be recommending a guideline sentence, and the defense would be free to recommend a lower sentence. It seems likely that the defendant would -- we had -- obviously, we cannot condition the Court on this, but the Government has agreed with the defense, in view of the fact that Ms. Alliegro has been in custody now for the better part of, I believe, six months, five-and-a-half months, that the Court would consider an expedited presentence report and it would, in fact, aid the parties as well so that we could have an expedited sentencing, if possible, if the Court has it available. We were thinking in terms of something like three weeks or something, if possible.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. KLUGH: And I believe that's everything, Your

Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. MULVIHILL: Your Honor, just so the record is

clear, the guideline ranges and calculations the parties have 11:04:28 1 agreed to recommend to the Court, but the Court is not obviously 11:04:34 2 11:04:38 bound by our recommendations. 3 4 THE COURT: Right. 11:04:40 5 MR. MULVIHILL: I just want to make sure. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Ms. Alliegro, could you 11:04:41 6 please stand and raise your right hand to be sworn. 11:04:42 7 11:04:48 8 [The defendant was sworn by the clerk, Mr. Hasbun, at 11:04 11:04:48 9 a.m.] Thank you. Please be seated and use the 11:04:53 10 MR. HASBUN: 11:04:55 microphone, please. 11 11:04:57 12 BY THE COURT: 11:04:57 All right. What is your full name? **13** 0. 11:05:01 14 A. Ana Sol Alliegro. 11:05:02 Q. And Ms. Alliegro, do you understand that you are now under 15 11:05:05 oath and if you answer any of my questions falsely, those 16 11:05:09 17 answers could later be used against you in another prosecution 11:05:12 18 for perjury or making a false statement? 11:05:14 19 Α. I understand. 11:05:15 20 Q. How old are you? 11:05:16 21 Α. 44. And how far did you go in school? 11:05:18 22 0. 23 11:05:20 Α. Second year of college. 24 11:05:21 Q. Are you able to read and write in English?

11:05:25

25

Α.

Yes.

- 11:05:25 1
- Have you ever been treated for any mental illness or for any
- 11:05:28 2 addiction to narcotic or prescription drugs or for alcohol
- abuse? 11:05:31 3
- 11:05:32 4 Α. No.
- Today in court are you under the influence of any drugs, 11:05:32 5 Q.
- alcohol, narcotics or medications? 11:05:35 6
- 7 11:05:38 Α. No.
- 11:05:38 8 Q. Have you taken any drugs, alcohol, narcotics or medication
- 11:05:42 9 in the past 48 hours?
- 11:05:45 Α. No. 10
- 11:05:45 Q. Are you under the care or treatment of a doctor for any 11
- 11:05:48 12 condition?
- 11:05:50 Α. No. 13
- 11:05:50 14 Q. All right. And prior to today, have you received a copy of
- the Indictment which is the formal charging document that sets 11:05:54 15
- 11:05:58 forth the charges against you? 16
- 11:06:00 17 Α. Yes.
- 11:06:00 Have you had a chance to speak to your attorneys about those 18 0.
- 11:06:04 19 charges, about, in general, what type of evidence the Government
- 11:06:09 20 may have against you and about any possible defenses you may
- have in the case? 11:06:11 21
- 11:06:13 22 A. Yes.
- 11:06:13 23 Have you also spoken to them about the decision whether to 0.
- 11:06:17 plead guilty or go to trial? 24
- 11:06:20 25 Α. Yes.

- 11:06:20
- 11:06:23 2

1

3

- 11:06:27
- 11:06:28 4
- 11:06:30 5
- 11:06:33 6
- 11:06:33 7
- 11:06:36 8
- 11:06:40 9
- 11:06:40 10
- 11:06:43 11
- 11:06:46 12
- 11:06:50 13
- 11:06:54 14
- 11:06:58 15
- 11:07:02 16
- 11:07:06 17
- 11:07:08 18
- 11:07:13 19
- 11:07:17 20
- 11:07:18 21
- 11:07:18 22
- 11:07:22 23
- 11:07:25 24
- 11:07:30 25

- Q. Are you fully satisfied with the representation and advice that has been provided to you by your attorneys?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Is there anything concerning their representation or advice that you are not satisfied with?
- A. No.
- Q. Do you understand that your sentence is going to be calculated pursuant to the federal sentencing guidelines?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And the way the guidelines work is that points are added or subtracted for a number of different reasons. So, for example, the crime that you are pleading guilty to gives a certain number of points. If there are money involved in the crime, either money that are gained by the defendants or lost by other people, then more points could be added based upon the amount of money.

If you accept responsibility, points could be deducted. So there are those as well as a number of other factors that the Court may consider in calculating a guideline score and once I complete that calculation, it gives an advisory sentencing guideline range.

- A. Yes.
- Q. Do you understand that once I determine the advisory guideline range, I can sentence you to somewhere within that range, but I can also vary or depart and give a sentence that is greater than that, or I can vary or depart and give a sentence

- 11:07:34 1 that is less than that.
- 11:07:36 2 A. Yes.
- 11:07:37 3 Q. All right. And you heard your attorney announce that there
- 11:07:41 4 is an agreement between you and your attorneys, plural, and the
- 11:07:47 5 Government to recommend to me how I should calculate your
- 11:07:51 6 guideline score.
- 11:07:52 7 Do you understand that although I will most likely go
- 11:07:55 8 along with that recommendation, I am not legally obligated to do
- 11:07:59 9 so; and if I calculate your guidelines in a different way than
- 11:08:05 10 it's recommended to me to do, that does not give you the right
- 11:08:08 11 to withdraw your plea.
- 11:08:10 12 A. Yes.
- 11:08:10 13 Q. And do you also understand that if your attorneys, or anyone
- 11:08:13 14 else, have told you what they think your ultimate sentence is
- 11:08:15 15 going to be, that is just an estimate by them. It is not
- 11:08:19 16 | binding on the Court, and it does not give you the right to
- 11:08:22 17 withdraw your plea if their estimate is incorrect.
- 11:08:27 18 A. Yes.
- 11:08:27 19 Q. Other than what was announced in open court a few minutes
- 11:08:32 20 ago, have any additional promises been made to you in order to
- 11:08:36 21 get you to plead guilty today?
- 11:08:38 22 A. No, sir.
- 11:08:38 23 Q. Has anybody forced you, threatened you or coerced you in any
- 11:08:42 24 way to get you to plead guilty?

No.

11:08:43 25 A.

August 19, 2014

11:08:44

1

3

- 11:08:46 2
- 11:08:47
- 11:08:47 4
- 11:08:52 5
- 11:08:57 6
- 11:09:02 7
- 11:09:05 8
- 11:09:09 9
- 11:09:19 10
- 11:09:24 11
- 11:09:26 12
- 11:09:30 13
- 11:09:33 14
- 11:09:36 15
- 11:09:43 16
- 11:09:45 17
- 11:09:49 18
- 11:09:55 19
- 11:09:57 20
- 11:10:00 21
- 11:10:05 22
- 11:10:05 23
- 11:10:09 24
- 11:10:14 25

- Q. Are you pleading guilty of your own free will because you are guilty?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Do you understand the maximum penalties you could receive for Count 1, which is a conspiracy to make a false statement and to violate federal election campaign act is five years in prison, followed by up to three years' supervised release, and a \$250,000 fine.

As to Count 2, which is making a false statement to a federal agent, the maximum penalty is five years in prison, three years' supervised release and a \$250,000 fine.

As to Count 3, illegal campaign contributions, the maximum penalty is five years in prison, three years' supervised release and a \$250,000 fine.

And as to Count 4, illegal campaign contributions, the maximum is five years in prison, three years' supervised release and a \$250,000 fine. And as to each of those four counts, I must impose a \$100 special assessment, payable at the time of your sentencing, for a total of \$400.

Do you understand that those are the maximum penalties that you are facing?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Do you understand that if I accept your plea, you will be adjudicated guilty of several felony offenses and if you are a United States citizen, you could lose valuable civil rights,

11:10:17 1 11:10:21 2 11:10:26 3 11:10:29 4 11:10:32 5 11:10:35 6 11:10:39 7 11:10:42 8 11:10:46 9 11:10:47 10 11:10:49 11 11:10:52 12 11:10:56 **13** 11:10:58 14 11:11:04 **15** 11:11:07 16 11:11:09 **17** 11:11:13 18 11:11:16 19 11:11:20 20 11:11:24 21 11:11:27 22 11:11:30 23

11:11:35

11:11:38

24

25

such as the right to vote, the right to hold office, the right to serve on a jury, and the right to possess a firearm; and if you are not a United States citizen, you will be removed or deported from the United States. You will not be allowed to return to the United States. You will not be allowed to later become a citizen of the United States, and there are other adverse consequences to your immigration status as well.

Do you understand all that?

A. Yes.

Q. All right. You originally entered a plea of not guilty to the charges in the Indictment, and I want you to understand you have the absolute right to continue to plead not guilty; and if you did, you would have the right to have a trial before a judge or jury at which you would be presumed to be innocent and the Government would be required to prove your guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

You would have the right to have the assistance of your attorneys throughout the entire trial, the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses who testify against you, the right to compel the attendance of witnesses to testify on your behalf, and to compel the production of documents or other physical evidence to assist you in your defense.

You would have the right to testify or not testify and if you chose to not testify, your silence could not be used against you in any way and you would still be presumed to be

11:11:43 1 11:11:45 2 11:11:47 3 11:11:51 4 11:11:54 5 11:12:06 6 7 11:12:06 11:12:09 8 11:12:14 9 11:12:17 **10** 11:12:20 11 11:12:23 12 11:12:25 **13** 11:12:30 14

11:12:34

11:12:38

11:12:41

11:12:43

11:12:46

11:12:50

11:12:55

11:12:59

11:13:03

11:13:06

11:13:10

15

16

**17** 

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

innocent and if you lost the trial, you could appeal your conviction to a higher court.

Do you understand that by pleading guilty this morning, there will be no trial in your case and you are giving up all of those rights that you would have had at a trial?

A. Yes.

THE COURT: And there is no written factual proffer, so what is the Government's factual proffer concerning the case against Ms. Alliegro as to each of the four counts? Please listen carefully, Ms. Alliegro, because I am going to ask you whether you agree with those facts.

MR. MULVIHILL: Your Honor, the Federal Election

Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, was a federal statute that was enacted to regulate federal election campaigns.

The Election Act established the Federal Election

Commission, an agency of the Executive Branch of the Government
of the United States responsible for the administration and
civil enforcement of the federal election and campaign finance
laws. Among the purposes of the Election Act was the
identification and disclosure of persons and entities making
contributions to candidates for federal office, the enforcement
of limitations on the amount of such contributions, the
prohibition against the receipt of contributions by any
candidate or candidate's committee from corporate sources, the
prohibition of contributions in excess of \$2,500 by any

1 11:13:14 11:13:19 2 11:13:23 3 11:13:28 4 11:13:32 5 11:13:36 6 7 11:13:38 11:13:43 8 11:13:47 9 11:13:51 **10** 11:13:54 11 11:13:59 12 11:14:02 **13** 11:14:06 14 11:14:10 **15** 11:14:12 16 11:14:14 **17** 11:14:17 18 11:14:21 19 11:14:25 20 11:14:27 21 11:14:31 22 11:14:35 23 11:14:38 24 11:14:42 25

individual to any candidate or candidate's committee per federal election in 2012, and the prohibition of contributions in excess of \$100 in United States currency by any individual to any candidate or candidate's committee for federal election.

The Election Act's contribution limits apply to anything of value provided for the purpose of influencing a congressional election, including contributions to a candidate and his or her campaign and expenditures made in cooperation, consultation or concert with, or at the request or suggestion of, a candidate or his or her campaign.

In order to implement the above provisions, the Elect Act required the principal campaign committee of a candidate to file periodic campaign finance reports with the Federal Election Commission setting forth information concerning the source and expenditure of any campaign contributions.

The required information was to be submitted by the treasurer of the principal campaign committee to the Federal Election Commission on an FEC Form 3 entitled "Report of Receipts and Disbursements for an Authorized Committee" and attach schedules.

The FEC Form 3 and the schedule specifically required the true and accurate identification of all contributors and the amount of such contributions, and the true and accurate identification of all recipients of any campaign expenditures and the amount of such expenditures.

11:14:44 1 11:14:48 2 11:14:51 3 11:14:56 4 11:14:59 5 11:15:02 6 11:15:06 7 11:15:07 8 11:15:13 9 11:15:14 10 11:15:18 11 11:15:24 12 11:15:28 **13** 11:15:31 14 11:15:35 **15** 11:15:38 16 11:15:45 **17** 11:15:50 18 11:15:52 19 11:15:55 20 11:15:57 21 11:16:00 22 11:16:13 23 24 11:16:22 11:16:25 25

The FEC Form 3 further required a true and accurate identification of the source and amount of any loans to the campaign. The FEC Form 3s were made available to the public by the Federal Election Commission, and these reports were intended to provide a transparent record of the source and amount of any contributions and the recipients and amounts of any disbursements.

Justin Lamar Sternad was a candidate in the Democratic Party Primary Election for Florida's 26th Congressional District which was held on August 14, 2012.

On or about May 15, 2012, Justin Lamar Sternad signed an FEC Form 2 Statement of Candidacy and designated the Justin Sternad for Congress Committee as his principal campaign committee for the Democratic Party Primary Election for Florida's 26th Congressional District.

On or about July 10, 2012, Justin Sternad signed a second FEC Form 2 Statement of Candidacy and designated Lamar Sternad for Congress Committee as his principal campaign committee for the Democratic Party Primary Election for Florida's 26th Congressional District.

Both of these campaign committees operated under the identical FEC identification number in furtherance of a conspiracy to commit a multi-object conspiracy, to knowingly and willfully, in a matter within the jurisdiction of the Executive Branch of the United States, make a materially false, fictitious

1 11:16:28 11:16:31 2 11:16:38 3 11:16:40 4 11:16:43 5 11:16:46 6 11:16:51 7 11:16:56 8 11:16:59 9 11:17:03 10 11:17:07 11 11:17:11 12 11:17:17 13 11:17:20 14 11:17:22 **15** 11:17:24 16 11:17:27 **17** 11:17:30 18 11:17:35 19 11:17:38 20 11:17:41 21 11:17:43 22 11:17:47 23 11:17:53 24 11:18:01 25

and fraudulent statement, made contributions to a candidate for federal office, to-wit: Justin Lamar Sternad in excess of the limits of the Election Act, which contributions in calendar year 2012 exceeded the limits of the Election Act by \$25,000 or more and made contributions to a candidate for federal office, which contributions in calendar year 2012 exceeded limits of the Election Act by \$25,000 or more. The defendant Ana Alliegro conspired with others to violate those provisions.

The objective of the conspiracy was to conceal the true source of the funds which were used by the political campaign of Justin Lamar Sternad during the Democratic Party Primary Contest of 2012 for Florida's 26th Congressional District, to secretly provide contributions in excess of the limits prescribed by the Election Act and to conceal the existence of the conspiracy and the violations of the laws of the United States.

The conspirators used cash and checks to conceal the source and amount of contributions that were made to the Sternad campaign and false and misleading FEC Form 3s were composed and filed with the Federal Election Commission in order to conceal the true source, amount and nature of the funds used by the campaign.

The initial cash contribution came about in May 2012 when defendant Ana Alliegro hand-delivered \$500 in cash to coconspirator Justin Lamar Sternad. There was additional funds provided to Mr. Sternad's committee, to Justin Sternad's

11:18:07 1 11:18:08 2 11:18:12 3 11:18:18 4 11:18:21 5 11:18:24 11:18:29 7 11:18:33 8 11:18:34 9 11:18:37 10 11:18:41 11 11:18:47 **12** 11:18:51 **13** 11:18:54 14 11:18:57 **15** 11:19:00 16 **17** 11:19:03 11:19:04 18 11:19:06 19 11:19:11 20 11:19:17 21 11:19:22 22 11:19:27 23 11:19:36 24 11:19:40 25

campaign.

On or about June 7, 2012, a deposit of \$5,000 in cash was made into the account of Justin Sternad for Congress at a TD Bank branch in Washington, D.C.

On or about June 8, 2012, a deposit of \$5,500 in cash was made into the account of Justin Sternad for Congress,

Account Number 1570, at another TD Bank branch in Washington,

D.C.

On or about June 8, 2012, Check Number 301, payable to the Department of State in the amount of \$10,440 was drawn on the account of Justin Sternad for Congress at TD bank and was provided to the Florida Department of State, Division of Elections, with the notation, "Qualifying fee, 2012."

This all came about, Your Honor, because Justin Sternad had tried to become a member of Congress in the 26th Congressional District by running in the Democratic Party Primary.

He found that he could not get the adequate number of signatures to qualify to be on the ballot. He also found that he did not have sufficient funds to pay the qualifying fee.

Justin Lamar Sternad is a hotel clerk at a local hotel on Miami Beach. He has five children. His family collects food stamps and his hourly wage was somewhere between \$12 and \$15 an hour.

Towards the end of April 2012, Ana Alliegro reached out to Justin Sternad and offered her services, claiming that she

could get the financing to assist in his campaign. This came 11:19:44 1 11:19:48 2 about because Ana Alliegro had earlier met in a restaurant with 11:19:53 a coconspirator and formulated the plan to support financially 3 11:20:03 4 Justin Sternad's campaign. 11:20:04 5 THE COURT: And why do we keep not naming the 11:20:08 6 conspirator? I mean, I got the R&R on the Motion to Suppress, 7 and I almost didn't affirm it but I almost like didn't affirm 11:20:11 11:20:16 8 the Conspirator A. We're way past that time. Why is that 11:20:23 9 person's identity still being withheld? 11:20:24 10 MR. MULVIHILL: It's the Department of Justice policy 11:20:26 that you cannot name an unindicted coconspirator, Your Honor. 11 11:20:32 12 THE COURT: You cannot name an unindicted 11:20:36 13 coconspirator. 11:20:37 14 MR. MULVIHILL: No. It's against the Department of 11:20:42 **15** Justice policy to do so. THE COURT: So if we were in a trial if she didn't take 11:20:44 16 11:20:46 17 a plea and we were in a trial --11:20:49 18 MR. MULVIHILL: [Interrupting] In a trial, Your 11:20:50 19 Honor --THE COURT: -- and somebody testified, they couldn't 11:20:50 20 11:20:50 mention the person's name? 21 11:20:51 22 MR. MULVIHILL: In a trial, you can mention the 11:20:53 23 person's name. 11:20:54 24 THE COURT: What about in a factual proffer to tell 11:20:57 25 them this is the facts of what happened and who was involved so

11:20:59 1 she can say yes. How are we going to say, you know, you did this or didn't do this? 11:21:03 2 11:21:05 MR. MULVIHILL: Your Honor, I understand the Court's 3 11:21:07 question. The Department of Justice policy has been that at 4 11:21:14 5 sentencings, at pleas, that you do not name unindicted 11:21:18 6 coconspirators if can be the avoided. And since, in fact, we 11:21:24 7 can identify this coconspirator by his role in the offense, we 11:21:29 8 do not have to use his particular name. 11:21:33 9 I am not trying to in any way conceal it from the 11:21:35 Court. I'm quite sure of the Court is aware. **10** 11:21:37 THE COURT: If I tell you I want to know his name, you 11 11:21:41 12 can tell me his name. There is no Justice Department policy 11:21:43 **13** that you can't answer a judge's question. 11:21:44 14 MR. MULVIHILL: No, that's not the case, Your Honor. 11:21:46 15 That's not the case. 11:21:47 THE COURT: What's not the case? 16 11:21:49 **17** MR. MULVIHILL: If you ask me a question, I'm answering your question. 18 11:21:52 19 THE COURT: Yes. I want to know who this conspirator 11:21:55 I want her to know who it is, and she can say, "Yes, I did 20 21 11:21:57 that" or not. 11:21:58 22 I mean, isn't it that same person that arranged to have 11:22:01 23 one of that person's companies that he did business with prepare 11:22:06 24 something for Mr. Sternad and then the bill was in the 11:22:08 25 conspirator's name instead of Mr. Sternad's name and he said,

```
"Whoa, don't put it in my name." Didn't he travel somewhere
11:22:11
           1
11:22:15
           2
              with Ms. Alliegro during the time there was an issue of whether
11:22:19
              she was leaving or fleeing or not fleeing? Is that the same
           3
11:22:22
              person?
           4
11:22:22
           5
                       MR. MULVIHILL: Yes, Your Honor, it is.
11:22:23
           6
                       THE COURT:
                                   Okay. So who is that person based on your
11:22:26
           7
              evidence. If there were a trial in this case, what would the
11:22:30
           8
              evidence show is the identity of that person?
11:22:32
           9
                       MR. MULVIHILL: Your Honor, would the Court
11:22:35
              respectfully direct me to answer that question?
          10
11:22:37
                       THE COURT:
                                  I think I just did.
          11
11:22:39
                       MR. MULVIHILL: Thank you, Judge.
         12
11:22:41
                       If I may then go back, I will --
         13
                       THE COURT:
          14
                                   Okay.
11:22:46
                       MR. MULVIHILL: -- mention it in the proffer --
         15
                       THE COURT:
          16
                                   Okay.
                       MR. MULVIHILL: -- pursuant to the Court's instruction.
11:22:47
          17
11:22:48
                       In early April 2012, the defendant, Ana Alliegro, met
         18
11:22:58
         19
              at the Catch of the Day Restaurant with Congressman David Rivera
11:23:02
          20
              and another individual, and at that point David Rivera directed
11:23:10
              Ana Alliegro to meet with Justin Lamar Sternad to assist, and
          21
11:23:21
          22
              she then met with Justin Lamar Sternad. She called him.
                                                                        She
11:23:25
          23
              met with him. She told him that she had connections to provide
11:23:29
              the financing for his campaign. Since, as I told you, he was an
          24
11:23:33
          25
              extremely poor man, he agreed to accept it, took it as a
```

1 lifesaver.

> The first thing that Ana Alliegro provided him was \$500 in cash. She then provided -- there was also a car rental that he needed. He needed a campaign car, and Ana Alliegro deposited \$1,060 in Justin Lamar Sternad's account at the Wells Fargo Bank. He used those funds to rent a campaign car during the course of the campaign.

As I said, he needed sufficient funds for a qualifying He needed \$10,440 to qualify to run in the Democratic Party Primary for the 26th Congressional District. He did not have those funds.

Ana Alliegro asked him for deposit slips to the Justin Sternad for Congress Campaign account. Lamar Sternad provided the deposit slips and subsequently an individual deposited \$5,000 on June 7 in the TD Bank branch in Washington, D.C. into the account of Justin Sternad for Congress at that branch, and then the very next day, on June 8, a deposit of \$500 was made at another branch in the TD Bank in Washington, D.C.

Subsequently on June 8, that money was used for a check that was issued to the Florida Department of State in the amount of \$10,440 for the qualifying fee. The check was provided by Justin Lamar Sternad. It was undated. A copy of the undated check was actually found on Ana Alliegro's computer and cell phone.

The check was later dated and deposited in Tallahassee,

25

11:25:46 1 11:25:51 2 11:25:58 3 11:26:02 4 11:26:12 5 11:26:16 6 11:26:20 7 11:26:25 8 11:26:31 9 11:26:35 10 11:26:40 11 11:26:49 12 11:26:55 **13** 11:26:56 14 11:27:01 15 11:27:05 16 11:27:13 **17** 11:27:17 18 11:27:20 19 11:27:26 20 11:27:34 21 11:27:41 22 11:27:49 23 11:27:56 24

11:27:57

25

Florida. Subsequent to that, the campaign needed fliers, campaign fliers, prepared. So a contact was made with Henry Barrios of Expert Printing. He's a part owner of Expert Printing, to, in fact, print up the flyer.

Ana Alliegro, prior to that contact, around the time of that contact, had reached out to friend of hers named Frank

Cera. He provided the initial drafts of those campaign fliers.

Those drafts were used by the graphic artist at Expert Printing,

Yolanda Rivas, to design the fliers.

Those fliers were designed by Ana Alliegro, David
Rivera and Yolanda Rivas in early June 2012. Yolanda Rivas was
paid \$2,600 handed to her by Ana Alliegro for her role in
designing the fliers.

Expert Printing subsequently printed thousands of fliers, approximately a dozen runs of different fliers, Your Honor, for the costs as set forth in the Indictment. They were paid \$10,000. An initial cash payment by Ana Alliegro was made directly to Henry Barrios.

A \$5,000 cash payment was subsequently delivered to Henry Barrios via Sunshine State Messenger Service and a \$13,824.85 check was delivered by David Rivera to Henry Barrios who then was directed by Mr. Rivera to write a \$9,000 check to Rapid Mail and Computer Service. They are the ones who mail the fliers.

John Barreiro of Rapid Mail and Computer Service, Inc.,

11:28:02 1 11:28:07 2 11:28:14 3 11:28:19 4 11:28:25 5 11:28:31 6 11:28:31 7 11:28:35 8 9 11:28:39 11:28:43 10 11:28:49 11 11:28:58 12 11:29:00 **13** 11:29:07 14 11:29:17 **15** 11:29:28 16 11:29:32 **17** 11:29:36 18 11:29:39 19 11:29:42 20 11:29:47 21 11:29:51 22 11:29:55 23 11:29:58 24

11:30:04

25

met with both David Rivera and Ana Alliegro, together and separately. Ana Alliegro delivered the payment as set forth in the Indictment in cash on a number of occasions to John Barreiro. The conspirators also used a courier service to deliver the payments in cash to Rapid Mail and Computer Service, Inc.

The courier service is Sunshine State Messenger

Service. They have the receipts and have provided them to the

Government of the delivers of cash. They also needed to place

"robo" calls, automated calls, campaign calls, to the community,

and an individual from Urban Initiatives, Incorporated was the

entity that placed the calls, Your Honor. He received

approximately, I believe, \$2,500 in case from Ana Alliegro via

Sunshine State Messenger Service.

When it came time to file the FEC Form 3s, Justin Lamar Sternad did not know the exact amounts that needed to be put on there and when he had to file the final reports that are set forth in the Indictment, Your Honor, he conferred with Ana Alliegro on how he should be reporting them and he reported all this money as loans from his personal funds to the campaign when, in fact, they were not his funds whatsoever. He has pled guilty to the fact that he knew they were not his funds. He didn't have the funds for it. The funds came from others.

That in a nutshell, Your Honor, would be the Government's proof if called to make those representations in

11:30:07 1 court. 11:30:07 2 BY THE COURT: 11:30:08 Q. All right. Ms. Alliegro, do you agree that if there was a 3 11:30:10 trial, the Government would be able to put on evidence to 4 establish those facts? 11:30:13 5 11:30:17 6 Α. Yes. 11:30:17 7 Q. All right. And in terms of the facts that involve you 11:30:22 8 directly that you heard, are those facts true and correct? 11:30:32 9 MR. KLUGH: Your Honor, essentially we have no 11:30:37 quibbling. It was an oral proffer. It was a lot to digest. I 10 11:30:42 don't want to put her in a position of being -- it may be some 11 11:30:46 information that she's not completely sure about but --12 11:30:53 **13** BY THE COURT: 11:30:53 I mean, he was reading a lot of things about the federal 14 11:30:56 **15** election laws and what other people did with another company. That's what I'm saying. So that's why I asked the first 11:30:58 16 **17** 11:30:59 question. Would you agree that if there was a trial, the 11:31:02 Government would have evidence of that even though she may not 18 11:31:07 have personal knowledge herself but --19 11:31:09 20 A. Yes. Q. -- in terms of what they said about what she did, she would 11:31:09 21 11:31:12 know that, so do you agree with that, Ms. Alliegro? 22 11:31:15 23 A. Yes. 24 THE COURT: Okay.

MR. KLUGH: I think it is clear. I just want the Court

11:31:15

25

to be aware that I don't think that the Government is saying 11:31:18 1 11:31:20 2 that it was Ms. Alliegro's money. 11:31:21 THE COURT: Right. I didn't hear them say that either. 3 MR. KLUGH: Thank you, Your Honor. 4 5 BY THE COURT: 11:31:24 6 0. Okay. All right. So Ms. Alliegro, how do you plead to the 11:31:29 7 four counts in the Indictment: Count 1, which charges you with 11:31:33 8 conspiracy to make a false statement and violate Federal 11:31:37 9 Election Campaign Act, and Count 2 which charges you with making 11:31:42 a false statement, and Counts 3 and 4 which charge you with 10 11:31:47 illegal campaign contributions. 11 11:31:50 Do you plead guilty or do you plead not guilty? 12 11:31:52 A. Guilty, Judge. **13** 11:31:53 14 THE COURT: All right. I find that the defendant is fully competent and capable of entering an informed plea; that 11:31:55 15 11:31:59 she is aware of the nature of the charges and the consequences 16 11:32:02 **17** of the plea and that the plea is freely, knowingly and 11:32:05 voluntarily entered and is supported by an independent basis in 18 11:32:09 fact which contains each of the essential elements of the 19 offense. 11:32:11 20 11:32:12 21 I am going to accept her plea of guilty, adjudicate her 11:32:15 22 guilty of Counts 1 through 4 of the Indictment. 11:32:19 23 Now, how long has she been in custody? 11:32:25 MR. KLUGH: Five-and-a-half months, Your Honor. 24 11:32:28 25 MR. MULVIHILL: Since March 7, Your Honor.

11:32:29 1 11:32:34 2 11:32:38 3 11:32:41 4 11:32:43 5 11:32:45 6 11:32:51 7 11:32:56 8 11:33:00 9 11:33:03 10 11:33:06 11 11:33:10 12 11:33:12 **13** 11:33:16 14 11:33:19 **15** 11:33:23 **16** 11:33:27 **17** 11:33:32 18 11:33:37 19 11:33:40 20 11:33:41 21 11:33:43 22 11:33:47 23 11:33:51 24 11:33:54 25

MR. KLUGH: If you count Nicaragua, March 4, which is the only command in the calendar. You've heard that before, I take it. March 4.

THE COURT: Okay. I am not saying I am going to give her this sentence, but I am just looking at the sentencing options if you have correctly calculated the guidelines, then Level 13, Criminal History Category I, is 12 to 18 months.

Again, I could vary above or below that but just within the guidelines, one of the options is to have six months in jail with home detention and the other six months instead of 12 months, so to make that a meaningful option then if we want to have a sentencing — again, I don't know. I may give her more than 18 months. I don't know. But if I want to give her six months, it doesn't do any good if we are past the six months.

So without putting an undue burden on the Probation Department, my suggestion is that rather than ordering an expedited presentence investigation report, we order a modified sentencing report and ask the Probation Office only to give us the offense conduct, the calculation of the guidelines and her criminal history.

They should have the offense conduct and the calculation based upon Mr. Sternad's case already. I don't think she has any prior record so that should be easy to verify.

That way you all can give me a sentencing memorandum with whatever information each side wants to give me about her

11:33:57 1 and we can get it done in the next few weeks. 11:34:01 2 MR. KLUGH: Thank you, Your Honor. 11:34:01 MR. MULVIHILL: That works. 3 11:34:03 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Alliegro, generally a 4 11:34:06 5 defendant prior to their sentencing has the right to have a full 11:34:10 6 presentence investigation report prepared by the Department of 11:34:14 7 Probation but it takes about 80 to 90 days, and that would have 11:34:17 8 a lot of information about your background, your work history, 11:34:21 9 your health history, your employment history, your educational 11:34:25 history, your criminal history, and a lot of information to help **10** 11:34:29 the Court make the appropriate decision. 11 11:34:31 12 Are you willing to go forward with your sentencing in a 11:34:34 **13** couple of weeks knowing that a full presentence investigation 11:34:38 report will not be prepared? I will have a modified sentencing 14 11:34:41 report. Your attorneys can give me whatever other information **15** 11:34:46 they think I should have to impose an appropriate sentence. 16 11:34:51 **17** Government can also provide any information they think I should 11:34:53 have as well. Are you willing to do that? 18 11:34:55 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 19 11:34:56 20 THE COURT: And the Government is also willing to do 11:34:57 21 that? 11:34:58 22 MR. MULVIHILL: Yes, Your Honor. 11:34:58 23 THE COURT: Okay. So today is the 19th. How about 11:35:14 24 September 10th, Wednesday, September 10th, at 8:30. 11:35:17 25 MR. KLUGH: Thank you, Your Honor. That's fine for us.

11:35:20	1	THE COURT: Are you available?
11:35:21	2	MR. MULVIHILL: Yes, sir.
11:35:25	3	THE COURT: Okay. So, again, I assume since you are
11:35:31	4	agreeing with the calculations, I would hope Probation would
11:35:35	5	assign the same probation officer who did the other calculation
11:35:38	6	for Mr. Sternad so we're not reinventing the wheel. There
11:35:42	7	shouldn't be any legal objections to the calculations. All
11:35:51	8	right. Anything else we can take up this morning?
11:35:55	9	MR. KLUGH: No, Your Honor. Thank you very much.
	10	MR. MULVIHILL: No, Your Honor.
11:35:58	11	THE COURT: All right. Ms. Alliegro, we'll see you
11:36:00	12	back in a couple of weeks.
	13	THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.
	14	CERTIFICATE
	15	I hereby certify that the foregoing is an accurate
	16	transcription of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
	17	OSUPH a. Mille A
	18	DATE JOSEPH A. MILLIKAN, RPR-CM-NSC-FCRR
	19	Official United States Court Reporter Federally Certified Realtime Reporter
	20	Wilkie D. Ferguson Jr U.S. Courthouse 400 North Miami Avenue, Suite 12-3
	21	Miami, FL 33128 305.523.5148 josephamillikan@gmail.com
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	